



03-29-07

2863

(K)

Application No.: 10/535,438

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Application No.: 10/535,438

To: Commissioner for Patents
USPTO Technology Center 2800
Supervisory Patent Examiner: John Barlow
Examiner: Cindy D. Khru

From: TSUJI, Katsumi
Applicant/Inventor

Subject: Reply for Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief
(USPTO Confirmation No. 6160, Art Unit 2863)
(Mailing Date: 03/06/2007)

Dear Sirs.
I am pleased to send the following items enclosed to USPTO Examination.

Items for Application No. 10/535,438:	Number of Pages
1. Reply for Advisory Action (Mailing Date: 03/06/2007)	1
2. Claim Listing	1
3. Amended Claims of Application sheet : Page No. 8	1

Total 3 Pages

Please find out and receive the above enclosures.
Best Regards.

Place/Date: Tokyo/ Mar. 27, 2007

Signature: Katsumi Tsuji
Name: TSUJI, Katsumi



**Reply for Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief
Mailing Date : 03/06/2007**

Art Unit: 2863
USPTO Confirmation No. 6160,
Examiner : Cindy D. Khuu
Application No. : 10/535,438
Reply by Applicant TSUJI, Katsumi

Statement of Examiner (all):

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

13. Other:

The amendment filed on 1/22/07 does not comply with the format set forth in 37 CFR 1.121 because applicant did not properly amend the claims from the most recent ENTERED claims dated 7/31/2006. Changes should apply only to the 7/31/2006 amendment. Applicant did not show the changes when limitation "devices" (Claim 2, line 1) was replaced with --facilities--.

The amendment filed on 2/5/07 does not comply with the format set forth in 37 CFR 1.121 because applicant did not properly amend the claims from the most recent ENTERED claims dated 7/31/2006. Changes should apply only to the 7/31/2006 amendment. Applicant did not show the changes when limitation "transferring" (Claim 1, lines 9-10) was cancelled. Further, Applicant did not show the changes when limitation "devices" (Claim 2, line 1) was replaced with --facilities--.

Reply of Applicant:

Applicant would like to amend the claim 1 according to the above limitations and notifications, so applicant will restore "transferring" (Claim 1, lines 9-10) and "devices" (Claim 2, line 1). Accordingly, Applicant will replace with the proper markings to the 7/31/2006 amendment as following:

The words "determining" (line 1 of 7/31/06 amendment) with -----"determining determining"
"the steps of" (line 2 of 7/31/06 amendment) with -----"the following steps of"
"quntities" (line 4 of 7/31/06 amendment) with -----"quantities quantities"
"ofthe" (line 5 of 7/31/06 amendment) with -----"efthe of the"
"determining" (line 9 of 7/31/06 amendment) with -----"determining determining"
"transferring" (line 9-10 of 7/31/06 amendment) with -----"transferring transferring"
"determining" (line 17 of 7/31/06 amendment) with -----"determining determining"
"rotor, that mentioned in the claim 1." (line 17 of 7/31/06 amendment) with
-----"rotor, that mentioned in the according to claim 1."

Please confirm the attached sheet of the amended page 8(Claims).

Summery of Applicant's replies:

Applicant appreciates very much for the careful examinations by USPTO.
Applicant will more effort to get the fully acceptances by USPTO Examination,
please let me know any comment from USPTO.
Best Regards.

Place/Date : Tokyo / Mar.27, 2007
Applicant / Inventor:

Signature: 
Name: TSUJI, Katsumi